Atomic Masses from Ruthenium to Xenon*

RICHARD A. DAMEROW, † RICHARD R. RIES, ‡ AND WALTER H. JOHNSON, JR. School of Physics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota (Received 14 June 1963)

A sixteen-inch double-focusing mass spectrometer employing the peak matching method of measurement has been used to measure the atomic masses of all stable isotopes in the region ruthenium to xenon. Atomic masses of 53 radioactive nuclei have been calculated from mass differences derived from nuclear reaction and β -decay energies. Nucleon binding and pairing energies have been calculated from the resulting mass table. The effect of the shell closure at Z = 50 on the systematics of nucleon binding and pairing energies has been investigated in greater detail than has previously been possible. The discontinuity in proton binding energy is shown to be caused by a decrease in binding energy of protons beyond Z=50. The main result of the study of nuclear systematics in this region seems to be that the binding energies of both neutrons and protons exhibit smooth behavior except for discontinuities at a shell closure. The presence of doubly charged, diatomic tellurium satellites which interfere with the singly charged ion peaks is also noted.

INTRODUCTION

TOMIC masses of the stable isotopes of elements A from ruthenium through xenon have been measured with the Minnesota 16-inch double focusing mass spectrometer. Improvements to the instrument have been described in the previous paper,¹ The mass results have been combined with disintegration energies and reaction Q values to form a table of 108 stable and radioactive masses. With this table, a study of the nuclear binding energy systematics in the neighborhood of the Z = 50 shell has been undertaken.

MEASUREMENTS

The procedure of measurement and the analysis of data is similar to that described in the previous paper.¹ Mass doublets have been measured of the narrow hydrocarbon-isotope type and also of the wider isotopeisotope type. In order to resolve the C¹³ satellite ion peak in the hydrocarbon-isotope doublets, a resolution of about 1/60 000 was required. Resultions of 1/60 000 to $1/200\ 000$ were employed, so that the C¹³ satellite was resolved for all measurements.

Metal ions were obtained in most cases by heating the element or one of its compounds in a furnace described in the previous article.¹ The following compounds were employed: (C5H5)2Ru, AgCl, SnCl2, and CH3I. Pure metals were used for rhodium, palladium, cadmium, indium, antimony, and tellurium. The ruthenium and iodine compounds had sufficient vapor pressure at room temperatures to be run as gases. Adequate vapor pressure from rhodium and palladium could not be obtained in the usual way. These materials were vaporized by heating a ribbon of tantalum to which

a small sample of rhodium or palladium had been spot welded.

A series of closely spaced satellites were observed near the singly ionized tellurium ion peak. These satellites are illustrated in an oscilloscope photograph shown in Fig. 1. The resolution of the instrument for this illustration was in excess of $1/300\ 000$. The satellite peaks were identified as doubly ionized combinations of other tellurium isotopes, see Fig. 1 for example.

RESULTS

Table I lists the measured doublets. Xenon doublets from Ref. 2 have been included for completeness. The errors listed are standard errors calculated from the statistical spread in the original data together with an estimate of resistor uncertainty. The masses are listed in the mass scale in which C^{12} is exactly 12 units, the symbol for these units being u.

Table II lists atomic masses that may be calculated from the doublets of Table I. Secondary standard masses used in these calculations are found in Table III. Some of the mass values for tin, cadmium, and ruthenium are overdetermined with data from both hydro-



FIG.1. Tracing the doubly charged, diatomic satellites of the Te¹²⁵ peak. The peaks are, from right to left $(Te^{124}Te^{126})^{++}$, $(Te^{122}Te^{126})^{++}$, $(Te^{122}Te^{126})^{++}$, $(Te^{122}Te^{126})^{++}$, $(Te^{122}Te^{126})^{++}$ peak has very low intensity and appears only as a slight broadening of the base of the peak. The Te^{126} and $(Te^{122}Te^{128})^{++}$ ions differ in mass by 672 μ u.

^{*} Supported in part by contract Nonr-710(18) with the Office of Naval Research.

[†] Present address: Sandia Corporation, Alburguergue, New Mexico. ‡ Present address: Max Planck Institut für Chemie, Mainz,

¹ R. R. Ries, R. A. Damerow, and W. H. Johnson, Jr., Phys. Rev. **132**, 1662 (1963). ² R. A. Damerow, M. S. thesis, University of Minnesota, 1960

⁽unpublished).

	Mass difference ^b			Mass difference ^b	ierence ^b		
Doublet ^a	(u)	Error ^e	Doublet ^a	(u)	Error		
$\begin{array}{c} Doublet* \\ \hline \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Mass difference} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & (u) \end{tabular} \\ \hline & (u) \end{tabular}$	Error ^e 38 29 30 37 22 32 34 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 13 187 101 139 43 61 93 31 38 27 29 46 39 39 39 40 29 11 11 11 11 11 13 87 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Doublet* \\ \hline C_9H_9-Sn^{117} \\ C_9H_{10}-Sn^{118} \\ C_9H_{11}-Sn^{119} \\ C_8H_{12}N-Sn^{129} \\ C_8H_{12}N-Sn^{124} \\ Sn^{115}-Sn^{114} \\ Sn^{115}-Sn^{114} \\ Sn^{115}-Sn^{116} \\ Sn^{117}-Sn^{116} \\ Sn^{117}-Sn^{116} \\ Sn^{119}-Sn^{118} \\ Sn^{120}-Sn^{129} \\ C_9H_{13}-Sh^{121} \\ C_8H_{13}N-Sh^{123} \\ C_9H_{12}-Te^{120} \\ C_8H_{13}N-Te^{123} \\ C_9H_{12}-Te^{123} \\ C_{10}H_{2}-Te^{123} \\ C_{10}H_{3}-Sh^{126} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Te^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Te^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Xe^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Xe^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Xe^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Xe^{128} \\ C_{10}H_{8}-Xe^{129} \\ C_{10}H_{10}-Xe^{130} \\ C_{10}H_{11}-Xe^{130} \\ C_$	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{Mass difference} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & (u) \end{tabular} \\ \hline & (u) \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		
$\begin{array}{c} C_9H_5-In^{113}\\ C_9H_7-In^{116}\\ C_8H_{16}-Sn^{112}\\ C_8H_{18}-Sn^{114}\\ C_9H_{17}-Sn^{116}\\ C_9H_8-Sn^{116}\\ \end{array}$	0.135 015 3 0.150 909 6 0.220 383 6 0.238 092 3 0.151 411 4 0.160 860 7	85 79 91 102 76 84	$\begin{array}{c} C_{10}L_{11}^{-1}-Xe^{136}\\ C_{10}H_{16}-Xe^{136}\\ Xe^{129}-Xe^{128}\\ Xe^{130}-Xe^{129}\\ Xe^{131}-Xe^{130}\\ Xe^{132}-Xe^{131} \end{array}$	0.217 982 0 1.001 247 0.998 723 1.001 574 0.999 070	39 12 12 11 11		

TABLE I. Mass doublets.

^a Throughout this work C, H, and N refer to C¹², H¹, and N¹⁴. ^b All masses are measured in a scale in which the atomic mass of C¹² is exactly equal to 12 units (symbol u). The symbols mu and μ u refer to one milliunit and one microunit, respectively.

^e Throughout this work the errors refer to the last figure of the particular result. The errors given in this table are from the original experimental data. The resulting error in an atomic mass calculation will be rounded off to the nearest μ u.

carbon doublets and isotopic doublets. In these cases, a weighted least-squares fit of the data was made. The result listed in Table II is the adjusted value of the mass. Errors in these cases are those derived from the least-square adjustment.

Table II also gives, for comparison purposes, the results of previous measurements. In this region, most of the mass spectroscopic results that were used in the 1961 Mass Table³ are from previous Minnesota work by Halsted⁴ on a smaller instrument. For this reason, no attempt will be made to make detailed comparisons with the 1961 Mass Table. The errors for Halsted's measurements are, in most cases, more than ten times larger than the present quoted errors. Thus, no significant test of the present data can be made by a comparison of these masses. One observes that there is no general tendency for Halsted's results to be higher or lower than the present results.

Comparison of the present results with the precise results of Barber et al.^{5,6} for tin and antimony isotopes indicate that in all cases Barber's masses are higher than the present masses. The agreement would not be as good if the Cl³⁷-Cl³⁵ mass difference which may be obtained from the 1961 Mass Table is employed rather than the value derived from chlorine masses in Table III. The agreement with Barber's results is satisfactory.

Many of the results for ruthenium, tin, and antimony may be compared with results of Demirkhanov et al.^{7,8} The measurements of Demirkhanov have errors that are about 10 times larger than the errors for the present results. In all cases, the masses listed by Demirkhanov

³L. A. König, J. H. E. Mattauch, and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. **31**, 18 (1962). ⁴ R. E. Halsted, Phys. Rev. **88**, 666 (1952).

⁵ R. C. Barber, R. L. Bishop, L. A. Cambey, W. McLatchie, and H. E. Duckworth, Can. J. Phys. 40, 1496 (1962).
⁶ R. C. Barber, L. A. Cambey, J. H. Ormrod, R. L. Bishop, and H. E. Duckworth, Phys. Rev. Letters 9, 16 (1962).
⁷ R. A. Demirkhanov, V. V. Dorokhov, and M. I. Dzkuya, Zh. Eksperim, i Teor. Fiz. 40, 1572 (1961) [translation: Soviet Phys.-JETP 13, 1104 (1961)].
⁸ R. A. Demirkhanov, T. I. Gutkin, O. A. Samadashvili, and I. K. Karpenko, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Se., 25, 882 (1961).

	Present resu	ultsa	1961 Mass 7	Tableb	Other resu	ltso		Present resu	ltsa	1961 Mass 7	Fableb	Other resu	ltso
Isotope	u	error	u u	error	u	error	Isotope	u	error	u u	error	u	erro
Ru ⁹⁶	95,907 592	4	95,907 600	700	95,907 377	15 ^d	Sn118	117.901 601	6	117.901 790	190	117.902.08	22f
Ru ⁹⁸	97,905 282	$\hat{4}$	97.905 500	800	97.904 754	60 ^d	~					117.901 611	-6 ^h
Ru ⁹⁹	98.905 928	4	98.906 080	490	98.905 668	50 ^d	1.00					117.901 448	45 ⁱ
Ru ¹⁰⁰	99.904 210	5	99.903 020	300	99.904 186	74^{d}	Sn119	118.903 298	6	118.903 390	200	118.903 16	11 ^f
Ru^{101}	100.905 574	2	100.904 120	210	100.905 167	44^{d}	· ·					118.903 320	5 ^h
Ru ¹⁰²	101.904 343	3	101.903 720	200	101.904 021	72^{d}						118.903 150	40 ⁱ
Ru ¹⁰⁴	103.905 426	4	103.905 530	400	103.905 084	23 ^d	Sn^{120}	119.902 186	9	119.902 130	140	119.902 19	-7f
Rh103	102.905 509	4	102.904 800	200	102.905 49	10°						119,902 207	5ћ
Pd^{102}	101.905 624	19	101.904 940	190	101.904 87	8f						119.902 077	45 ⁱ
Pd^{104}	103.903 985	10	103.903 560	200	103.903 29	10 ^f	Sn ¹²²	121.903 428	8	121.903 410	140	121.903 47	14 ^f
$\mathrm{Pd^{105}}$	104.905 066	14	104.904 640	270	104.904 83	14 ^f						121.903 453	6 ^h
Pd^{106}	105.903 483	5	105.903 200	120	105.902 92	18f						121.903 180	40 ⁱ
Pd^{108}	107.903 883	6	107.903 920	120	107.903 48	10 ^f	Sn ¹²⁴	123.905 264	9	123.905 240	130	123.905 24	10f
Pd^{110}	109.905 157	10	109.904 500	320	109.904 49	12 ^f						123.905 287	7h
Ag^{107}	106.905 085	4	106.904 970	110	106.905 00	10 ^g	1					123.905 025	70 ⁱ
Ag ¹⁰⁹	108.904 749	4	108.904 700	110	108.904 64	10^{g}	Sb ¹²¹	120.903 811	4	120.903 750	140	120.903 822	4 ^h
Cd^{106}	105.906 458	3	105.905 950	370	105.905 94	14 ^f						120.903 652	40 ⁱ
Cd^{108}	107.904 181	4	107.904 000	120	107.904 08	10 ^f	Sb^{123}	122.904 214	4	122.904 150	140	122.904 215	5h
Cd^{110}	109.902 998	5	109.902 970	110	109.903 41	12 ^f						122.903 938	50i
Cd^{111}	110.904 184	4	110.904 150	190	110.904 29	8f	Te^{120}	119.904 017	9	119.904 510	400	119.904 51	15f
Cd^{112}	111.902 752	5	111.902 840	110	111.903 06	10 ^f	Te^{122}	121.903 045	9	121.903 000	130	121.902 91	8f
Cd^{113}	112.904 401	4	112.904 610	100	112.904 48	9f	Te ¹²³	122.904 256	16	122.904 180	130	122.904 34	40 ^f
Cd114	113.903 357	5	113.903 570	100	113.903 56	13f	Te ¹²⁴	123.902 814	13	123.902 760	130	123.903 12	10 ^f
Cd^{116}	115.904 760	3	115.905 010	320	115.905 00	12f	Te ¹²⁵	124.904 438	6	124.904 420	130	124.904 62	32f
In ¹¹³	112.904 108	9	112.904 280	100	112.904 32	10 ^f	Te ¹²⁶	125.903 326	9	125.903 242	37	125.903 87	6f
In^{115}	114.903 863	8	114.904 070	100	114.903 62	10 ^f	Te ¹²⁸	127.904 486	9	127.904 710	140	127.905 56	12f
Sn^{112}	111.904 812	10	111.904 940	110			Te ¹³⁰	129.906 225	10	129.906 700	140	129,906 96	8f
Sn ¹¹⁴	113.902 763	. 9	113.902 960	100			I ¹²⁷	126.904 471	5	126.904 352	23	126,904 66	12f
Sn115	114.903 349	6	114.903 530	110	114.903 36	25f	Xe ¹²⁶	125.904 303	45	125.904 169	32	125.904 45	14 ^f
Sn116	115.901 737	6	115.902 110	190	115.902 20	16f	Xe ¹²⁸	127.903 529	4	127.903 538	10	127.903 52	7f
					115.901 747	7 ^h	Xe ¹²⁹	128.904 779	4	128.904 784	10	128.904 78	12 ^f
					115.901 679	50 ⁱ	Xe ¹³⁰	129.903 503	5	129.903 510	9	129.903 51	3i
Sn117	116.902 944	8	116.903 060	190	116.903 11	9f	Xe ¹³¹	130.905 080	4	130.905 087	7	130.905 08	4i
					116.902 963	6h	Xe ¹³²	131.904 156	4	131.904 162	8	131.904 17	5i
					116.902 940	40 ⁱ	Xe ¹³⁴	133.905 390	4	133.905 398	8	133.905 41	5i
							Xe ¹³⁶	135.907 213	5	135,907 221	10	135 907 210	25i

TABLE II. Atomic masses computed from doublet data in Table I together with a comparison with previous mass spectroscopic values.

^a Computed by combining the doublet data in Table I with the appropriate auxiliary masses given in Table III. The overdetermined masses of Sn, Cd, and Ru are obtained by a least-squares adjustment of the data. The mass of ¹¹²⁹ is a weighted average of the two determinations. ^b Ref. 3. ^c The original doublet values of these authors have, where necessary, been converted to the C¹² scale and then combined with the appropriate masses from Table III.

=

TABLE III. Standard masses.

	Mass	
Isotope	u	error
C13	13.003 355 4	10ª
Cl ³⁵	34.968 853 1	19 ^b
Cl37	36.965 903 4	12 ^b
H^1	1.007 824 7	2°
H^2	2.014 102 2	1 ^d
n	1.008 665 4	4 ^d
N ¹⁴	14.003 073 1	4ª
016	15.994 914 2	50

* T. T. Scolman, K. S. Quisenberry, and A. O. Nier, Phys. Rev. 102, 1076 (1956).
^b C. F. Giese and J. L. Benson, Phys. Rev. 110, 712 (1958).
^c K. S. Quisenberry, C. F. Giese, and J. L. Benson, Phys. Rev. 107, 1664 (1957).
^d Ref. 3.

are smaller than the present results. The source of the large discrepancies in several of these comparisons is unknown.

Obtained from the ¹/₂Pb⁹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰³ doublet value of B. G. Hogg and H. E. Duckworth [Can. J. Phys. 30, 637 (1952)] combined with the Pb⁹⁰⁶ value (converted to C¹³) from J. L. Benson, R. A. Damerow, and R. R. Ries [Phys. Rev. 113, 1105 (1959)].
¹ Ref. 4.
⁶ W. H. Johnson, Jr. (private communication).
^b Refs. 5 and 6.
ⁱ Ref. 4.

ⁱ Ref. 8. ^j W. H. Johnson, Jr., and A. O. Nier, Phys. Rev. 105, 1014 (1957).

Table IV lists the unstable masses which may be calculated using the present data combined with nuclear



Fig. 2. Nuclear reaction and β -decay paths that were employed to calculate atomic masses of the radioactive isotopes. Solid circles represent stable nuclei, open circles represent radioactive nuclei, and connecting lines indicate nuclear reaction and β -decay mass differences.

Γ_{ABLE} IV. Atomic masses of radioact	ive	nuclei.ª	•
---	-----	----------	---

Iso-		Q val	ue	-	Mass	5	Iso-		Q valu	.e		Mass	
tope	Reaction	keV	error	Ref. ^b	u	error	tope	Reaction	keV	error	Ref. ^b	u	error
Ru ¹⁰³	(β^{-}) Rh ¹⁰³	750	20	61-3-65	102.906 314	22	In ¹¹²	(β^+) Cd ¹¹²	2582	20	i	111.905 524	22
	$\operatorname{Ru}^{102}(d,p)$	4110	60	61-3-66	102.906 208	65						111.905 520 ^d	12
			10	<i></i>	102.906 261	a 27	In ¹¹⁴	$(\beta^+)Cd^{114}$	1419	24	60-3-96	113.904 880	26
Ru ¹⁰⁵	(β^{-}) Rh ¹⁰⁵	1871	10	61-4-20	104.907 682	18		(β^{-}) Sn ¹¹⁴	1984	4	60-3-96	113.904 893	10
Ru^{106}	(β^{-}) Rh ¹⁰⁶	39	1	60-4-47	105.907 325	12		$\ln^{115}(\gamma,n)$	-9024	29	60-3-111	113.904 886	32
Rh99	(β^+) Ru ⁹⁹	2100	20	61-1-60	98.908 182	22		<i>.</i>				113.904 886 ^d	10
$\mathrm{Rh^{100}}$	(β^+) Ru ¹⁰⁰	3640	20	61-1-69	99.908 118	22	In116	(β^{-}) Sn ¹¹⁶	3290	60	60-3-121	115.905 269	65
$\mathrm{Rh^{102}}$	(β^+) Ru ¹⁰²	2300	12	61-2-42	101.906 812	13	In ¹¹⁷	(β^{-}) Sn ¹¹⁷	1470	10	60-3-135	116.904 522	13
	(β^{-}) Pd ¹⁰²	1150	6	61-2-42	101.906 859	20	Sn ¹¹³	(ϵ) In ¹¹³	684	4	60-2-106	112.904 842	10
	$\mathrm{Rh}^{103}(\gamma,n)$	-9307	32	61-3-67	101.906 836	34	Sn ¹²¹	${ m Sn}^{120}(d,p)$	3920	70	60-4-78	120.904 256	76
					101.906 836	a 13		$(\beta^{-})Sb^{121}$	383	5	60-4-78	120.904 222	7
$\mathrm{Rh^{104}}$	$\mathrm{Rh}^{103}(d,p)$	4786	20	61-4-5,9	103.906 648	22						120.904 239d	9
	(β^{-}) Pd ¹⁰⁴	2440	30	61-4-8	103.906 604	34	Sn^{123}	$(\beta^{-})Sb^{123}$	1420	10	60-6-66	122.905 738	11
	${ m Ru}^{104}(p,n)$	-2340	30	61-4-9	103.907 097	• 32	Sn ¹²⁵	$(\beta^{-})Sb^{125}$	2340	10	60-6-93	124.907 763	14
					103.906 626	d 22		$\operatorname{Sn}^{124}(d,p)$	3520	70	60-9-95	124.907 762	76
$\mathrm{Rh^{105}}$	(β^{-}) Pd ¹⁰⁵	565	3	61-4-22	104.905 673	14						124.907 762 ^d	14
Rh106	(β^{-}) Pd ¹⁰⁶	3540	10	60-4-48	105.907 283	12	Sb ¹¹⁷	(β^+) Sn ¹¹⁷	1820	30	60-3-137	116.904 898	33
Rh^{107}	(β^{-}) Pd ¹⁰⁷	1500	50	58-5-44	106.906 733	54	Sb119	(ϵ) Sn ¹¹⁹	579	20	60-4-62	118.903 920	22
Pd^{103}	$(\epsilon) \mathrm{Rh^{103}}$	560	30	61-3-68	102.906 110	32	Sb^{120}	$(\beta^+) \mathrm{Sn}^{120}$	2720	20	60-4-70	119.905 106	23
$\mathrm{Pd^{107}}$	$(\beta^{-})Ag^{107}$	35	1	60-5-140	106.905 123	4	Sb ¹²²	$(\beta^{-}) Te^{122}$	1971	4	60-4-88	121.905 161	10
$\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathtt{109}}$	(β)Ag ¹⁰⁹	1116	2	f	108.905 947	5		(β^+) Sn ¹²²	1590	30	60-4-88	121.905 135	33
Pd ¹¹¹	(β)Ag ¹¹¹	2190	50	60-2-81	110.907 662	55		$\mathrm{Sb}^{123}(\gamma,n)$	-8980	50	60-6-68	121.905 190	54
Ag^{104}	(β^+) Pd ¹⁰⁴	4270	10	61-4-3	103.908 569	15		$\mathrm{Sb}^{121}(n,\gamma)$	6780	20	60-4-90	121.905 197	22
Ag^{106}	(β^+) Pd ¹⁰⁶	2980	10	60-4-52	105.906 682	12						121.905 171 ^d	18
	$Ag^{107}(\gamma,n)$	-9578	70	60-5-142 ^g	105.906 703	75	Sb ¹²⁴	(β)Te ¹²⁴	2916	3	60-6-79	123.905 945	13
					105.906 692	d 12	Sb ¹²⁵	$(\beta^{-}) Te^{125}$	757	6	60-6-96	124.905 251	9
Ag^{108}	$Ag^{107}(n,\gamma)$	7270	20	58-3-53	107.905 945	22	Sb ¹²⁷	$(\beta^{-}) Te^{127}$	1570	3	61-1-75	126.906 896	10
-	$(\beta^{-})Cd^{108}$	1650	40	5-1-9	107.905 952	43	Te ¹¹⁹	$(\beta^+)\mathrm{Sb^{119}}$	2294	2	60-4-63	118.906 383	22
	(β^+) Pd ¹⁰⁸	1902	25	h	107.905 925	28	Te ¹²⁷	$(\beta^{-})I^{127}$	689	7	61-1-76	126.905 211	9
	$Ag^{109}(\gamma,n)$	-9196	26	60-2-55	107.905 956	28	Te ¹²⁹	$(\beta^{-})I^{129}$	1480	5	61-1-99	128.906 571	9
	$Ag^{107}(d,p)$	4974	10	5-1-11	107.906 022	• 11	Te ¹³¹	$(\beta^{-})I^{131}$	2280	20	61-2-53	130.908 570	22
					107.905 944	d 22	I ¹²⁴	$(\beta^{+}) Te^{124}$	3170	30	60-6-85	123.906 217	35
Ag^{110}	$(\beta^{-})Cd^{110}$	2869	8	60-2-65	109.906 078	10	I ¹²⁵	$(\epsilon) Te^{125}$	150	30	60-6-99	124.904 599	33
	$\mathrm{Ag}^{109}(d,p)$	4585	5	60-2-69	109.906 104	. 7	I ¹²⁶	$(\beta^{+}) Te^{126}$	2151	5	60-6-109	125.905 635	10
					109.906 091	d 7		$(\beta^{-}) Xe^{126}$	1251	5	60-6-109	125.905 646	45
Ag ¹¹¹	(β)Cd ¹¹¹	1050	10	60-2-82	110.905 311	11		$\mathrm{I}^{127}(\gamma,n)$	-9135	22	61-1-77	125.905 613	24
Ag ¹¹²	(β^{-}) Cd ¹¹²	4040	30	60-2-93	111.907 089	33						125.905 631 ^d	10
Ag ¹¹³	(β^{-}) Cd ¹¹³	2000	40	60-2-102	112.906 548	43	I ¹²⁸	(β^{-}) Xe ¹²⁸	2120	10	61-1-88	127.905 805	11
Cd^{107}	(β^+) Ag ¹⁰⁷	1417	4	i	106.906 606	6		$(\beta^+) \mathrm{Te}^{128}$	1267	12	k	127.905 846	16
Cd109	$(\epsilon) Ag^{109}$	158	4	60-2-56	108.904 919	6		$\mathrm{I}^{127}(n,\gamma)$	6785	22	61-1-90 ¹	127.905 852	24
Cd^{115}	(β^{-}) In ¹¹⁵	1450	10	60-3-108	114.905 420	13		$I^{127}(d,p)$	4350	50	61-1-90	127.906 078°	54
In^{108}	(β^+) Cd ¹⁰⁸	5110	50	5-1-13	107.909 667	54						127.905 834 ^d	15
In^{109}	(β^+) Cd ¹⁰⁹	2020	10	60-2-57	108.907 088	12	I ¹²⁹	$(\beta^{-})Xe^{129}$	189	5	61-1-101	128.904 982	7
In^{110}	(β^+) Cd ¹¹⁰	3960	40	60-2-75	109.907 249	43	I ¹³⁰	$(\beta^{-})Xe^{130}$	2950	20	61-3-73	129.906 670	22
In^{112}	(β^{-}) Sn ¹¹²	656	6	60-2-95	111.905 516	12	I ¹³¹	(β^{-}) Xe ¹³¹	970.4	4 0.6	61-2-56	130.906 122	4
							1						

* The conversion factor 931.476 ± 0.004 MeV/u [E. R. Cohen, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 305 (1962)] has been used in these calculations. ^b References have usually been given to the year, the set, and the page numbers of the *Nuclear Data Sheets*; for example, 60-2-56. Recent editions of these sheets specify the volume number rather than the year; for example, 1 ± 12

5-1-13.
The error assigned to the average is the larger of the following quantities:
(1) The error of the most precise value, and (2) A number chosen so that twice its value covers all measurements.
d Unweighted average.

with errors smaller than 75 keV are used.) The nuclear reaction and β -decay paths that were employed to calculate atomic masses of the radioactive isotopes are pictured in Fig. 2. A check on the present data may be obtained from the thirteen overdetermined Q value masses. It should be pointed out, however, that such studies cannot detect with any certainty small systematic errors $(5-10 \,\mu u)$. In general, the different determinations of the same mass agree quite well, and this agreement is taken to be a confirmation of the accuracy of the present data. In three cases, one of the individual masses disagreed markedly with the remainder and

Not included in the average.
Not included in the average.
H. W. Brandhorst, Jr., and J. W. Cobble, Phys. Rev. 125, 1323 (1962).
This is a particular value rather than the average given in the sheets.
L. Frevert, Z. Physik 169, 456 (1962).
N. L. Lark, P. F. A. Goudsmit, J. F. W. Jansen, J. E. J. Oberski, and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. 35, 582 (1962).
J. Ruan and Y. Yoshizawa, Nucl. Phys. 36, 431 (Z962).
H. Langhoff, P. Kilian, and A. Flammersfeid, Z. Physik 165, 393 (1961).
I An unassigned gamma ray (0.075 MeV) has been added to the value given in the Nuclear Data Sheets.

was eliminated from the average. Only in the case of the rejected Q values will a specific comment be made.

The reaction $\operatorname{Ru}^{104}(p,n)\operatorname{Rh}^{104}$ has been rejected because the Rh¹⁰⁴ mass value derived from it is approximately 470 µu higher than the other values. It should be mentioned, however, that the Ru¹⁰⁴ value of Demirkhanov *et al.*⁷ would reduce this disagreement by a factor of three. The $Ag^{107}(d,p)Ag^{108}Q$ value appears to be wrong in view of the excellent agreement of the four other determinations. Similarly, the agreement of three of the four determinations of I^{128} is considered grounds for rejecting the $I^{127}(d,p)I^{128}Q$ value.

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	TNBE®	TNBE	:/A		TNBEa	TNBE/A
Isotope	mu	mu	error	Isotope	mu	mu error
44Ru5996	887.181	9.2415	3	In ₆₆ ¹¹⁵	1051.316	9.1419 3
Ru5498	906.821	9.2533	3	In ₆₇ ¹¹⁶	1058.575	9.1256 6
R11== ⁹⁹	914 841	9.2408	3	In 68 ¹¹⁷	1067.988	9.1281 3
R11 rc100	925 224	9 2522	3	50SD co ¹¹²	1023.522	9 1386 3
R11en ¹⁰¹	932 526	9 2329	3	Sn c2 ¹¹³	1032 157	9 1341 3
R 11 = 0 ¹⁰²	042 422	0 2304	3	Sn 4 ¹¹⁴	1042 902	0 1483 3
R11-103	040 160	0 2152	4	Sn or 115	1050 981	0 1 300 3
R 11 104	958 670	0 2180	3	Sn ce ¹¹⁶	1061 258	0 1488 3
P 11 105	955.070	0 1012	3	Sn ar ¹¹⁷	1068 717	0 1 3 4 3 3
$D_{11} = 106$	074 102	0 1806	3	Sp.,118	1078 725	0 1/17 3
$D_{1} = 99$	01 720	9.1090	2	Sp 119	1085 604	0 1 2 2 5 2
45 1154	91.739	9.2095	3	Sn 120	1005 471	9.1255 5
IC 1155	920.408	9.2047	3	Sil70	1102 082	9.1209 3
Kn57 ¹⁰²	939.081	9.2007	3	S1171-22	1111 560	9.1081 3
Kh58 ¹⁰³	949.074	9.2143	3	Sn72 ¹²²	1117.015	9.1111 3
Rh59 ¹⁰⁴	956.622	9.1983	3	Sn73 ¹²⁵	1117.915	9.0887 3
Rh ₆₀ 105	966.240	9.2023	3	Sn74 ¹²⁴	1127.055	9.0892 3
Rh_{61}	973.296	9.1820	3	Sn75 ¹²⁵	1133.222	9.0658 3
Rh_{62}^{107}	982.511	9.1823	6	51SD66	1065.915	9.1104 4
46Pd 56 ¹⁰²	939.447	9.2103	3	Sb ₆₈ 119	1084.224	9.1111 3
Pd_{57}^{103}	947.626	9.2003	4	Sb ₆₉ ¹²⁰	1091.703	9.0975 3
Pd_{58}^{104}	958.416	9.2155	3	Sb70 ¹²¹	1101.664	9.1047 3
Pd_{59}^{105}	966.001	9.2000	3	Sb_{71}^{122}	1108.969	9.0899 3
${\rm Pd}_{60}{}^{106}$	976.249	9.2099	3	Sb72 ¹²³	1118.592	9.0942 3
Pd_{61}^{107}	983.275	9.1895	3	$ Sb_{73}^{124}$	1125.526	9.0768 3
${\rm Pd}_{62}^{108}$	993.180	9.1961	3	Sb ₇₄ ¹²⁵	1134.885	9.0791 3
Pd_{63}^{109}	999.781	9.1723	3	Sb76 ¹²⁷	1150.571	9.0596 3
Pd_{64}^{110}	1009.237	9.1749	3	52Te67 ¹¹⁹	1080.913	9.0833 3
Pd_{65}^{111}	1015.397	9.1477	6	Te ₆₈ ¹²⁰	1091.945	9.0995 3
47Ag57 ¹⁰⁴	952.985	9.1633	3	Te ₇₀ ¹²²	1110.247	9.1004 3
Ag_{59}^{106}	972.192	9.1716	3	Te ₇₁ ¹²³	1117.702	9.0870 3
Ag_{60}^{107}	982.465	9.1819	3	Te ₇₂ ¹²⁴	1127.809	9.0952 3
Ag_{61}^{108}	990.271	9.1692	3	Te ₇₃ ¹²⁵	1134.851	9.0788 3
Ag_{62}^{109}	1000.132	9.1755	3	Te74 ¹²⁶	1144.628	9.0843 3
Ag_{63}^{110}	1007.455	9.1587	3	Te75 ¹²⁷	1151.408	9.0662 3
Ag_{64}^{111}	1016.900	9.1613	3	Te76 ¹²⁸	1160.799	9.0687 3
Ag_{65}^{112}	1023.788	9.1410	4	Te ₇₇ ¹²⁹	1167.379	9.0494 3
Ag_{66}^{113}	1032.994	9.1415	5	Te ₇₈ ¹³⁰	1176.391	9.0492 3
48Cd 58 ¹⁰⁶	971.580	9.1658	3	Te ₇₉ ¹³¹	1182.711	9.0283 3
Cd 59 ¹⁰⁷	980.097	9.1598	3	53I71 ¹²⁴	1123.558	9.0610 4
Cd_{60}^{108}	991.188	9.1777	3	I ₇₂ ¹²⁵	1133.841	9.0707 4
Cd 61 ¹⁰⁹	999.115	9.1662	3	I ₇₃ ¹²⁶	1141.474	9.0593 3
Cde2110	1009.701	9.1791	3	I ₇₄ ¹²⁷	1151.300	9.0654 3
Cde3 ¹¹¹	1017.181	9.1638	3	I75 ¹²⁸	1158.602	9.0516 3
Cdes ¹¹²	1027.278	9,1721	3	I76 ¹²⁹	1168.120	9.0552 3
Cdes ¹¹³	1034.295	9.1531	3	I77 ¹³⁰	1175.097	9.0392 3
Cdee ¹¹⁴	1044 004	9.1579	3	T ₇₈ 131	1184.310	9.0405 3
Cdar ¹¹⁵	1050 606	9 1357	3	54X C79126	1141.954	9 0631 5
Cdeell6	1059 932	9 1373	3	Xe74 ¹²⁸	1160.058	9.0630 3
Tp 108	084 854	9 1190	6	Xe ₂₇ 129	1167 474	9.0502 3
4911159 Tr 109	006.008	0 1385	3	Xere ¹³⁰	1177 415	9.0570 3
Tn 110	1004 603	0 1 3 7 8	š	Xem131	1184 504	9.0420 3
Tn 112	1023 662	0 1 20 2	3	Xe-132	1104.004	0.0462 3
Tn 113	1023.002	0 1481	3	Xea 134	1210 100	0.0313 3
Tn 114	1041 627	0 1 3 7 1	3	Xea136	1210.190	0.0125 3
11165***	1011.027	2.1571		22082	1440,070	9.0120 0

^a No errors for total binding energy are specified. For most purposes, the difference in two TNBE values is employed. For these cases, the errors in TNBE may be considered to be equal to the errors given for the corre-

sponding atomic mass. In other words, one may assume the errors associated with the neutron mass and the hydrogen mass to be negligible.

Three nuclear reactions linking stable isotopes are also shown in Fig. 2. The reaction linking Ru¹⁰¹ and Ru¹⁰² (Ref. 9) yields a mass difference which is 831 ± 64 μ u greater than the present value. The value of this difference obtained from Ref. 7 is in substantial agreement with the present result and would seem to indicate that the Q value does not represent a groundstate transition. The Cd¹¹³(n,γ)Cd¹¹⁴ reaction¹⁰ yields a ⁹ P. Mason, F. C. Flack, and G. Parry, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 73, 138 (1959). ¹⁰ B. B. Kinsey and G. A. Bartholomew, Can. J. Phys. 31, 1051 (1953). mass difference of 0.998, 954 ± 9 u which agrees very well with the present value of 0.998, 956 ± 6 u. The Te¹²³ (n,γ) Te¹²⁴ reaction¹¹ gives a mass difference of 0.998, 573 ± 22 u which compares well with the value 0.998, 558 ± 21 u calculated from the present data.

¹¹ K. Way, G. Anderson, F. Everling, G. H. Fuller, N. B. Gove, R. Levesque, J. B. Marion, C. L. McGinnis, R. Nakasima, and M. Yamada, in *Nuclear Data Sheets*, compiled by K. Way *et al.* (Printing and Publishing Office, National Academy of Sciences— National Research Council, Washington 25, D. C., 1960), set 6, p. 84.

S_n			S_{2n}		P_n			S_n		S_{2n}		Ρ,	P_n	
Isotope	mu	error	mu	error	mu	error	Isotope	mu	error	mu	error	mu	error	
44Ru5498 R1199	8 020	2	19.640	3			In ₆₈ ¹¹⁷	9.413 8.635	67 13	16.672	18	2.154	130	
Ru ₅₆ ¹⁰⁰ Ru ₅₆ ¹⁰¹	10.383	33	18.403	3	2.363	4	Sn_{64}^{114} Sn e^{115}	10.745	13 13	19.380	13	2.110	23	
Ru ₅₈ ¹⁰² Ru ₅₈ ¹⁰³	9.896 6.747	$\frac{3}{27}$	17.198	3	2.594	4	Sn_{66}^{116} Sn_{67}^{117}	10.277	11 15	18.356	13	2.198	19	
Ru ₆₀ ¹⁰⁴ Ru ₆₁ ¹⁰⁵	9.501 6.409	27 18	16.248	4	2.754	54	Sn_{68}^{118} Sn_{68}^{119}	10.008	15 10	17.467	11	2.549	27	
Ru ₆₂ ¹⁰⁶	9.023 8.729	21 31	15.432	12	2.614	37	Sn_{70}^{120} Sn_{71}^{121}	9.777 6.612	13 14	16.746	13	2.808	19	
Rh_{58}^{103} Rh ₅₀ ¹⁰⁴	9.993 7.548	13 22					Sn_{72}^{122} Sn_{73}^{123}	9.477 6.355	12 13	16.089	13	2.865	22	
Rh ₆₀ ¹⁰⁵ Rh ₆₁ ¹⁰⁶	9.618 7.056	$\frac{1}{26}$	17.166	14	2.070	46	$\frac{\text{Sn}_{74}^{124}}{\text{Sn}_{75}^{125}}$	9.140 6.167	13 16	15.495	11	2.785	24	
Rh ₆₂ ¹⁰⁷	9.215 8.179	55 37	16.271	56	2.159	60	51Sb68 ¹¹⁹ Sb69 ¹²⁰	7.479	33	18.309	41			
Pd_{58}^{104} Pd_{58}^{104}	10.790 7.585	34 17	18.969	21	2.611	68	Sb_{70}^{121} Sb_{71}^{122}	9.961 7.305	24 18	17.440	23	2.482	53	
Pd_{60}^{106} Pd_{61}^{107}	$10.248 \\ 7.026$	$ \begin{array}{c} 14\\ 4 \end{array} $	17.833	11	2.663	29	$\begin{array}{c} { m Sb_{72}}^{123} \\ { m Sb_{73}}^{124} \end{array}$	9.623 6.934	18 11	16.928	3	2.318	36	
Pd_{62}^{108} Pd_{63}^{109}	9.905 6.601	6 7	16.931	7	2.879	8	Sb74 ¹²⁵ Sb76 ¹²⁷	9.359	14	16.293 15.686	8 12	2.425	27	
Pd_{64}^{110} Pd_{65}^{111}	9.456 6.160	10 56	16.057	11	2.855	13	$\begin{array}{c} {}_{52}\mathrm{Te}_{68}{}^{120} \\ \mathrm{Te}_{70}{}^{122} \end{array}$	11.032	24	18.302	12			
${}_{47}^{47}Ag_{60}^{107}Ag_{61}^{108}$	$10.273 \\ 7.806$	12 22					$\begin{array}{c c} Te_{71}^{123} \\ Te_{72}^{124} \end{array}$	$7.455 \\ 10.107$	18 20	17.562	15	2.652	34	
Ag_{62}^{109} Ag_{63}^{110}	9.861 7.323	$\frac{22}{8}$	17.667	3	2.055	44	$\begin{array}{c c} Te_{73}^{125} \\ Te_{74}^{126} \end{array}$	7.042 9.777	13 10	16.819	15	2.735	18	
$Ag_{64}^{111} Ag_{65}^{112}$	$9.445 \\ 6.888$	$\frac{13}{34}$	16.768	11	2.122	18	$\begin{array}{c c} Te_{75}^{127} \\ Te_{76}^{128} \end{array}$	6.780 9.391	12 12	16.171	12	2.611	21	
Ag_{66}^{113} $_{48}Cd_{59}^{107}$	9.206 8.517	54 5	16.094	45	2.318	78	$\begin{array}{c}{\rm Te_{77}^{129}}\\{\rm Te_{78}^{130}}\end{array}$	6.580 9.012	11 13	15.592	13	2.432	20	
Cd_{60}^{108} Cd_{61}^{109}	11.091 7.927	5 5	19.608	2	2.574	10	$\begin{bmatrix} Te_{79}^{131} \\ 53I_{72}^{125} \end{bmatrix}$	6.320 10.283	24 48					
Cd_{62}^{110} Cd_{63}^{111}	10.586 7.480	6 5	18.513	4	2.659	11	$\begin{array}{c c} I_{73}^{126} \\ I_{74}^{127} \\ I_{74}^{127} \end{array}$	7.633 9.826	34 11	17.459	33	2.193	39	
Cd_{64}^{112} Cd_{65}^{113}	10.097 7.017	4 4	17.577	5	2.617	7	1_{75}^{128} 1_{76}^{129}	7.302 9.518	16 16	16.820	7	2.216	31	
Cd_{66}^{114} Cd_{67}^{115}	9.709 6.602	4 13	16.726	4	2.692	8	1_{77}^{130} 1_{78}^{131}	6.977 9.213	$\frac{23}{22}$	16.190	6	2.236	44	
Cd ₆₈ ¹¹⁶ 49In ₆₀ ¹⁰⁹	9.326 11.244	13 55	15.928	3	2.724	26	Xe_{75}^{128}	7.416	4	18.104	45		_	
\ln_{61}^{110} \ln_{64}^{113}	8.505 10.078	45 15					Xe_{76}^{130} Xe_{77}^{131}	9.941 7.089	4 4	17.357	5	2.525	7	
In ₆₅ ¹¹⁴ In ₆₆ ¹¹⁵ In ₆₇ ¹¹⁶	7.887 9.689 7.259	13 13 65	17.576	11	1.802	23	$\begin{array}{c} Xe_{78}^{132} \\ Xe_{80}^{134} \\ Xe_{82}^{136} \end{array}$	9.589	2	$16.678 \\ 16.097 \\ 15.508$	4 2 3	2.500	5	

TABLE VI. Neutron separation and pairing energies.

NUCLEAR SYSTEMATICS

The total nuclear binding energy (TNBE) and the average binding energy per nucleon (TNBE/A) for 108 stable and radioactive nuclei in this region are given in Table V. The total nuclear binding energy is defined as follows:

TNBE
$$(Z,N) = ZM_{\rm H} + NM_n - zM_N^A - E_b(Z,N)/c^2$$
, (1)

where M_n and $M_{\rm H}$ are the neutron mass and hydrogen atomic mass, and $_{Z}M_N{}^{A}$ is the mass of the atom characterized by Z protons and N neutrons. The Coulomb binding of the electrons $[E_b(Z,N)/c^2]$ has been calculated by means of an expression given in Ref. 12. The value of this correction ranged from 115 μ u for ruthenium to 186 μ u for xenon, with a stated accuracy of 10%. The expression for TNBE ignores the binding energy of the electron in the hydrogen atom. The



FIG. 3. Average binding energy per nucleon for stable isotopes.

¹² L. L. Foldy, Phys. Rev. 83, 397 (1951).

	S_p		S_{2p}		P_{1}	p		S_p		S_{2p}	,	Р	p
Isotope	mu	error	mu	error	mu	error	Isotope	mu	error	mu	error	mu	error
45Rh5499	4.918	22					In ₆₈ ¹¹⁷	8.056	17				
Rh55100	5.627	22					50Sn62 ¹¹²			13.821	10		
Rh57 ¹⁰²	6.555	13					Sn63 ¹¹³	8.495	15	14.976	10	2.014	26
Rh 58 ¹⁰³	6.652	3					Sn64114	9.162	13	15.624	10	2.700	19
Rh59104	7.453	35					Sn65115	9.354	12	16.686	8	2.022	22
Rh60105	7.570	14					Sn66 ¹¹⁶	9.942	11	17.254	8	2.630	17
Rh61106	8.217	21					Sn ₆₇ ¹¹⁷	10.142	66	18.111	18	2.173	130
Rh62107	8.409	55					Sn ₆₈ ¹¹⁸	10.737	18	18.793	7.	2.681	1 34
46Pd56102			14.223	19			51Sb66 ¹¹⁷	4.657	35				
Pd57103	8.545	35	15.100	32	1.990	41	Sb68119	5.499	23				
Pd ₅₈ 104	9.342	10	15.994	10	2.690	11	Sb_{69}^{120}	6.009	25				
Pd 59105	9.379	26	16.832	30	1,926	53	Sb70 ¹²¹	6.193	11				
Pd60106	10.009	15	17.579	4	2.439	28	Sb_{71}^{122}	6.886	20				
Pde1107	9,979	11	18.196	18	1.762	29	Sb72123	7.032	8				
Pd62108	10.669	54	19.078	13	2.260	110	Sb73 ¹²⁴	7.611	17				
47Ag57104	5.359	35					Sb_{74}^{125}	7.830	11				
Ag 59 ¹⁰⁶	6.191	18					52Te ₆₇ ¹¹⁹			12.196	26		
Ag60 ¹⁰⁷	6.216	4					Te ₆₈ ¹²⁰	7.721	24	13.220	11	2.222	46
Ag ₆₁ ¹⁰⁸	6.996	22					Te_{70}^{122}	8.583	9	14.776	14	2.390	15
Ag62 ¹⁰⁹	6.952	6					Te71 ¹²³	8.733	24	15.619	18	1.847	40
Ag63 ¹¹⁰	7.674	8					Te72 ¹²⁴	9.217	13	16.249	15	2.185	15
Ag64111	7.663	14					Te73125	9.325	14	16.936	12	1.714	28
Ag65112	8.391	64					Te74126	9.743	12	17.573	12	1.913	20
48Cd58106			13.164	10			Te75 ¹²⁷			18.186	17		
Cd59107	7.905	13	14.096	14	1.714	28	Te ₇₆ ¹²⁸	10.228	12				
Cd60 ¹⁰⁸	8.723	2	14.939	4	2.507	5	53I71 ¹²⁴	5.856	38				
Cd61109	8.844	23	15.840	6	1.848	23	I_{72}^{125}	6.032	35				
Cd62110	9.569	5	16.521	7	2.617	9	I73 ¹²⁶	6.623	11				
Cd63111	9.726	8	17.400	5	2.052	15	I_{74}^{127}	6.672	10				
Cd64112	10.378	11	18.041	9	2.715	24	I_{75}^{128}	7.194	17				
Cd65113	10.507	32	18.898	55	2.116	85	I76 ¹²⁹	7.321	10				
Cd66 ¹¹⁴	11.010	43					I77 ¹³⁰	7.718	23				
49In 59 ¹⁰⁸	4.757	54					I_{78}^{131}	7.919	10				
In ₆₀ 109	4.910	12					54Xe72126	8.113	56	14.145	47	2.081	80
In ₆₁ ¹¹⁰	5.488	43					Xe74 ¹²⁸	8.758	6	15.430	9	2.086	13
In ₆₃ 112	6.481	12					Xe75 ¹²⁹	8.872	15	16.066	9	1.678	31
In64113	6.462	9					Xe76130	9.295	7	16.616	9	1.974	15
In65114	7.332	11					Xe77 ¹³¹	9.407	22	17.125	8	1.689	44
In 66 ¹¹⁵	7.312	8					Xe_{78}^{132}	9.783	2	17.702	10	1.864	10
In67 ¹¹⁶	7.969	66											

TABLE VII. Proton separation and pairing energies.

average binding energy per nucleon, TNBE/A, for stable nuclei is plotted as a function of A in Fig. 3. The effect of the shell closure at Z=50 is not evident in this graph. A change in slope of the odd-A curve that is found at other magic numbers may be masked



FIG. 4. Neutron separation energy.





at Z=50 because of the nearness of the next neutron shell closure at N=82.

Average quantities, such as TNBE/A, are not particularly sensitive to changes in nuclear structure. For this reason, various differences of the total binding energies are studied. The neutron separation energy, $S_n(Z,N)$; the binding energy of the last two neutrons



FIG. 6. Binding energy of the last two neutrons.

in a nucleus of even N, $S_{2n}(Z,N)$; and the neutron pairing energy, $P_n(Z,N)$; are given by the following expressions:

$$S_n(Z,N) = \text{TNBE}(Z,N) - \text{TNBE}(Z,N-1), \qquad (2)$$

$$S_{2n}(Z,N) = \text{TNBE}(Z,N) - \text{TNBE}(Z,N-2)$$
(3)

$$P_n(Z,N) = S_n(Z,N) - S_n(Z,N-1) \quad N \text{ even}$$

= TNBE(Z,N)+TNBE(Z, N-2)
-2 TNBE(Z, N-1), (4)

with similar relations for the proton binding and pairing energies. The energy differences defined above are given in Tables VI and VII.



FIG. 7. Binding energy of the last two protons.

In Fig. 4, the neutron separation energy is plotted for even Z and odd N. Such nuclei consist of one odd neutron bound to a zero spin core. The most striking features of this plot are the smooth variation of S_n and the similarity of the curves for the various elements. It is also interesting to note that the proton shell closure at Z=50 does not seem to influence the general trend of these curves.

The proton separation energy is plotted in Fig. 5 for odd-Z, even-N nuclei. Unfortunately, there is insufficient information to yield curves as extensive as those for S_n . Nevertheless, one notices that the slopes of the curves are all similar except for the shell closure at

Z=50. One can also see that the binding of the proton which closes the shell is not anomalously high; rather, the binding of the proton just outside the shell is depressed. The depression in the binding energy is approximately 1.4 MeV.

The binding energy of the last two neutrons is plotted in Fig. 6 for even N and all values of Z. Here again, one is struck by the great regularity of the curves. This regularity is taken to mean that no drastic change in nuclear structure occurs in this region. Here also, the shell closure at Z=50 does not seem to affect the neutron systematics in this region. It is interesting to note that the curves for odd Z are not equidistant from the neighboring even-Z curves but are shifted slightly



FIG. 8. Neutron pairing energy.

toward the higher-Z curve. This is presumably due to the interaction between the odd proton and the neutron pair.

The binding energy of the last two protons is plotted in Fig. 7 for even Z and all values of N. Once again, the data are too sparse to allow extensive curves to be drawn. The influence of the shell closure is quite obvious, as well as the fact that the slope of the curves seems to be essentially the same on each side of the closure.

Neutron pairing energies and proton pairing energies that may be calculated are listed in Table VI and Table VII, respectively. The P_n values are plotted in Fig. 8 as a function of N. Values for the same element are connected by straight lines. As in the previous paper, an attempt was made to correlate the magnitude



FIG. 9. Proton pairing energy.

of the pairing energy with the j value of the individual nucleons in the pair. In many cases, the common jvalue for the individual nucleons in the pair is the same as the j value for the preceding odd nucleon. In other cases, the pairing may take place in a higher j value level. This is possible if one follows the assumption of Mayer and Jensen¹³ that the pairing energy increases as the j value of the pairing particles increases. In certain circumstances, it would thus be energetically favorable to pair in a high j-value state. Mayer and Jensen have indicated a possible ordering of shell model states in this region. From this ordering, one can determine the j value in which each pair is formed. Attempts have been made to correlate P_n values either to the j value of the odd neutron or to the jvalue given by the Mayer-Jensen scheme. In neither case are consistent correlations apparent.

¹³ M. G. Mayer and J. H. D. Jensen, *Elementary Theory of Nuclear Shell Structure* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1955).

Proton pairing energies are plotted in Fig. 9 as a function of Z. Values with a common neutron number are connected by a straight line. A decrease in the proton pairing energy is noted for values of Z beyond Z=50. This change was indicated in the neutron pairing energy at N = 50 in the previous paper. Over-all correlation to either the j value of the pair or to the j value from the scheme of Mayer and Jensen is poor. The decrease from Z=50 to 52 corresponds to a *j* value decrease. This may indicate that the relationship between pairing energy and j value is good only near a shell closure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professor A. O. C. Nier for his continued support and encouragement of this work. We wish to acknowledge the aid of J. L. Benson who helped to make some of the measurements. We are also indebted to R. B. Thorness for his aid in design and construction of the mass spectrometer.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 132, NUMBER 4

15 NOVEMBER 1963

Properties of Radioactive Re¹⁸⁹[†]

B. CRASEMANN,* G. T. EMERY, W. R. KANE, AND M. L. PERLMAN Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York (Received 3 April 1963; revised manuscript received 19 July 1963)

The new isotope Re¹⁸⁹ has been produced by fast-neutron irradiation of osmium and by the (α, p) reaction on tungsten. The rhenium was separated chemically from the target material. Beta-ray, gamma-ray, and internal conversion spectra have been measured. The mass assignment is confirmed by the observation of eleven electromagnetic transitions in the Os¹⁸⁰ daughter, including the 30.8-keV isomeric transition (6h), all of which were known from the decay of Ir^{189} . Rhenium-189 has a half-life of 23.4 ± 1.0 h and emits betaray groups with end-point energies 1000, 780, and 725 keV, and probably others. Results of coincidence measurements lead to some new information about the level scheme of Os¹⁸⁹. The effects of the expected rotation-particle coupling between low-lying K=1/2 and K=3/2 bands in Os¹⁸⁹ are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

CONSIDERABLE number of activities have A been tentatively assigned to the isotope Re¹⁸⁹ in the course of the last several years, but little definite information about this nucleus and its decay has been available. We have conducted experiments leading to the production and identification of this isotope and have studied its decay to levels in Os¹⁸⁹.

Previously existing knowledge of some features of the level structure of Os¹⁸⁹ has been helpful in the identification of Re¹⁸⁹. In turn, the results of this work add to the available information about the level scheme of Os¹⁸⁹. The decay of Ir¹⁸⁹ to Os¹⁸⁹ has been studied by

Diamond and Hollander,¹ by Kane,² and recently, by Harmatz, Handley, and Mihelich,³ and by Lerohl.⁴ An isomer of Os^{189} , decaying by M3 radiation to the ground state, was characterized by Scharff-Goldhaber, Alburger, Harbottle, and McKeown⁵ and further investigated by Newton.⁶ At least two low-lying levels of Os¹⁸⁹ have been studied in Coulomb excitation experi-

[†] Supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and by the National Science Foundation. * On leave from the University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.

¹ R. M. Diamond and J. M. Hollander, Nucl. Phys. 8, 143 (1958).

² W. R. Kane, thesis, Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts [Technical Report 3-9,1959 (unpublished)].

^a B. Harmatz, T. H. Handley, and J. W. Mihelich, Phys. Rev. 128, 1186 (1962); referred to as HHM.
⁴ J. K. Lerohl, thesis, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio,

⁶G. Scharff-Goldhaber, D. E. Alburger, G. Harbottle, and M. McKeown, Phys. Rev. 111, 913 (1958).
⁶J. O. Newton, Phys. Rev. 117, 1529 (1960).